



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/624,102	07/21/2003	Brian Kabbes		1359	
759	90 06/04/2004		EXAMINER		
Catherine Anne Whealy Proprietary Rights International			HWU, JUNE		
1513 Melody La			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Roanoke, TX	76262		1661		
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

···	_	Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/624,1	102	KABBES, BRIAN				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		June Hw	/u	1661				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no e on. s, a reply within the state period will apply and was statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be time stutory minimum of thirty (30) days will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	21 July 2003.						
	ı) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.							
3)	·—							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	thdrawn from co						
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 July 2003</u> is/are		ed or b)⊡ objected to b	y the Examiner.				
•—	Applicant may not request that any objection to							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But	ments have bee ments have bee priority docum ureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Application ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachmen 1) <u>⊠</u> Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		ified copies not received 4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dai	PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	•		tent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/624,102 Page 2

Art Unit: 1661

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

An Official Draftsman has approved the drawings.

Objection to the Disclosure

37 CFR 1.163

The following is a quotation of section (a) of 37 CFR 1.163:

(a) The specification must contain as full and complete a disclosure as possible of the plant and the characteristics thereof that distinguish the same over related known varieties, and its antecedents, and must particularly point out where and in what manner the variety of plant has been asexually reproduced. In the case of a newly found plant, the specification must particularly point out the location and character of the area where the plant was discovered.

35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

In plant application filed under 35 U.S.C. 161, the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112 are limited.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

As specific to United States Plant Patent applications, the specifics of 37 CFR 1.164 (reproduced below) are controlling:

The claim shall be in formal terms to the new and distinct variety of the specified plant as described and illustrated, and may also recite the principal distinguishing characteristics. More than one claim is not permitted.

Art Unit: 1661

In plant applications filed under 35 U.S.C. 161, the requirements of 35 U.S.C. are limited. The

following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 162:

No plant patent shall be declared invalid for noncompliance with section 112 of this title if the description is as complete as is reasonably possible. The claim in the specification shall be in formal terms to the plant

shown and described.

The disclosure is objected to under 37 CFR 1.163(a) because the specification presents

less than a full and complete botanical description and the characteristics which distinguish over

related known varieties.

More specifically:

A. Page 1, line 19, the word "taken" should be deleted because it is unclear where the

asexual reproduction was produced. Correction is necessary.

B. Page 2, lines 6-7, the recitation regarding the most similar cultivar to the claimed plant

are its parents is not sufficient. While searching through the Internet for other hybrids of

Agastache, the cultivar Blue Fortune appears to be similar to the claimed plant. The botanical

characteristics that distinguish 'Blue Fortune' from the instant cultivar are the plant size and the

foliage fragrance. Applicant must set forth in the specification a brief description as to how the

new variety is distinguished from related known cultivars.

C. Page 3, lines 7-9, the recitation, "The photograph at the tope of the sheet..." does not

appear to correspond with the attached photograph. It appears the photograph of the claimed

flowering plant is on the right side and not on the left side when the photograph is turned on its

side. When the photograph is on its side, the top of the sheet is on the right side. In addition,

Application/Control Number: 10/624,102

Art Unit: 1661

words must appear in horizontal form, when the photograph is either upright or turned on its side. See 37 CFR 1.84(i).

- D. Page 3, lines 9-10, the recitation, "The photograph at the bottom of the sheet..." does not appear to correspond with the attached photograph. It appears the photograph of a close-up view of the claimed plant's flowering spike is on the left side and not on the right side when the photograph is turned on its side. When the photograph is on its side, the top of the sheet is on the right side. See 37 CFR 1.84(i).
- E. Applicant should set forth in the specification the average number of flowers and flower buds per flowering stem.
- F. Applicant should set forth in the specification the average size of the flower spike (length and diameter).
- G. Page 7, lines 19-20, the recitation "97A" regarding the color designation of the immature and mature flower does not appear to correspond with the attached photograph. The color of the florets appears close to the purple group 76B. Applicant should check for accuracy.
- H. Applicant should set forth in the specification a botanical description of the peduncle such as average length, diameter, surface texture, and color designation with reference to an the employed color chart.

Anc 5/27/0 Application/Control Number: 10/624,102

Art Unit: 1661

- I. Pages 8-9, with regard to the reproductive organs color designation such as the anther, stigma and style does not appear to correspond with the attached photograph. The colors of the reproductive organs appear close to the purple group and not the violet-blue group.

 Applicant should check for accuracy.
- J. Applicant should set forth in the specification a botanical description of the fruit, such as type, shape, size, and color designation.

The above listing may not be complete. Applicants should carefully compare the claimed plant with the botanical descriptions set forth in the specification to ensure completeness and accuracy and to distinguish the plant within this expanding market class. Any further botanical information should be imported into the specification, as should any additional or corrected information relative to same.

Claim Rejection

35 USC § 112, 1st and 2nd Paragraphs

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs as not being supported by a clear and complete botanical description of the plant for reasons set forth in the Objection to the Disclosure Section above.

Comments

The information regarding the cultivar After Eight was described in the Plant Breeder's Right application number 20011570 filed in European Union on November 16, 2001 and published on February 15, 2002. The printed publication was accessible to the public more than one year prior to filing of this instant application. A publication relied upon as prior art under 35

Application/Control Number: 10/624,102 Page 6

Art Unit: 1661

USC 102(b) must be enabling. The text of the relied upon publications standing alone would not enable one skilled in the art to practice the claimed invention.

When the claim is drawn to a plant, the reference, combined with knowledge in the prior art, must enable one of ordinary skill in the art to reproduce the plant. See *In re Le Grice*, 301 F.2d 929, 133 USPQ 365 (CCPA 1962). If one skilled in the art could obtain or reproduce the plant from a publicly available source, then a publication describing the plant would have an enabling disclosure.

In the declaration, Applicant states that the claimed plant has not been sold or available to the public anywhere in the world. Therefore, the printed publication cannot be enabled because the disclosed cultivar has not been propagated from publicly available materials.

Ans-

Future Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to June Hwu whose telephone number is (571) 272-0977. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANNE MARIE GRUNBERG PRIMARY EXAMINER